



DOI: 10.4274/ejbh.galenos.2026.2025-12-10

Eur J Breast Health 2026;22(3):368-369

Axillary De-Escalation: Precision Matters

Wiebren A. Tjalma¹⁻³

¹Multidisciplinary Breast Clinic, Unit Gynecologic Oncology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

³University of Antwerp Faculty of Medicine, Wilrijk, Belgium

Dear Editor,

We read with interest the review by Mukherjee et al. (1) on omission of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SNB) in early-stage breast cancer. The topic is important and evolving. However, several key elements essential for safe clinical implementation are insufficiently defined or omitted in their review. This becomes apparent when the review is considered alongside contemporary guideline recommendations (2).

First, current recommendations do not support a broad or biologically vague omission strategy. They define a highly selected patient population in whom SNB may be safely omitted: postmenopausal women aged ≥ 50 years, with unifocal invasive ductal carcinoma ≤ 2 cm, Nottingham grade 1–2, hormone receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative disease, a negative preoperative axillary ultrasound (or a single suspicious node with benign concordant biopsy), planned adjuvant endocrine therapy, and upfront breast-conserving surgery followed by whole-breast radiotherapy (2). In contrast, Mukherjee et al. (1) refer to “low-risk” or “favourable” disease without consistently presenting these criteria as mandatory conditions, thereby risking overextension of the concept.

Second, omission of SNB is explicitly conditioned on the assumption that nodal information would not change adjuvant systemic or radiotherapy decisions. Preoperative multidisciplinary discussion is therefore essential. This safeguard

is not highlighted in the review, despite its central role in preventing undertreatment.

Third, the contemporary American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guideline introduces an important age-specific nuance (2). In patients ≥ 65 years who otherwise meet omission criteria, radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery is not mandatory. This distinction, derived from CALGB 9343 and PRIME II, has direct implications for shared decision-making (3, 4). Mukherjee et al. (1) do not address this age-specific consideration and implicitly present radiotherapy as standard, thereby overlooking an established opportunity for further treatment de-escalation in selected older patients.

Fourth, ASCO defines surgery-specific axillary pathways after mastectomy (2). Patients with one to two positive sentinel nodes may omit completion axillary dissection only if postmastectomy regional nodal irradiation is planned. In the absence of such radiotherapy, axillary dissection remains recommended. These decision pathways are only superficially discussed in the review, despite their clear clinical relevance.

Finally, ASCO is explicit about what should not be done: routine SNB for ductal carcinoma in situ treated with breast-conserving surgery is discouraged (2). SNB should not be performed solely to evaluate internal mammary nodes. Nor should SNB replace axillary dissection in inflammatory breast cancer or in the presence of biopsy-proven palpable axillary disease (2). These explicit “red lines” are not systematically highlighted.

Corresponding Author: Wiebren Tjalma MD, PhD, FEBS (Hon), Prof (full);

E-mail: wiebren.tjalma@gmail.com **ORCID:** orcid.org/0000-0002-6618-045X

Received: 31.12.2025 **Accepted:** 07.01.2026 **Available Online Date:** 17.06.2026

Cite this article as: Tjalma WA. Axillary de-escalation: precision matters. Eur J Breast Health. 2026;22(3):368-369



©Copyright 2026 The Author(s). Published by Galenos Publishing House on behalf of Turkish Federation of Breast Diseases Societies. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND) International License.

In summary, the review by Mukherjee et al. (1) is timely and informative. However closer alignment with the prescriptive structure of the current recommendations would improve its clinical precision. In axillary de-escalation, nuance is not optional. Precision is the safety net. Clear eligibility criteria, explicit contraindications, and treatment-altering decision points are essential to ensure that “less” surgery truly remains “no less” care.

Footnotes

Financial Disclosure: The author declare that he’s received no financial support for this study.

References

1. Mukherjee R, Ranjan P, Singh BK. It is not an obituary of sentinel lymph node biopsy or surgery to axilla, it’s a de-escalation of surgery to axilla in early breast cancer: a traditional review. *Eur J Breast Health*. 2025; 22: 19-24. (PMID: 41447642) [[Crossref](#)]
2. Park KU, Somerfield MR, Anne N, Brackstone M, Conlin AK, Couto HL, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in early-stage breast cancer: ASCO guideline update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2025; 43: 1720-1741. (PMID: 40209128) [[Crossref](#)]
3. Hughes KS, Schnaper LA, Bellon JR, et al. Lumpectomy plus tamoxifen with or without irradiation in women age 70 years or older with early breast cancer: long-term follow-up of CALGB 9343. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31: 2382-2387. (PMID: 23690420) [[Crossref](#)]
4. Kunkler IH, Williams LJ, Jack WJL, Cameron DA, Dixon JM. Breast-conserving surgery with or without irradiation in early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2023; 388: 585-594. (PMID: 36791159) [[Crossref](#)]